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## Introduction

In July 1986, Reserve Environmental Services (RES) installed a Class-I wasteinjection well, and began operations on the east side of the town of Ashtabula, Ohio. The injection well was about 1.8 km in depth and operated at a nearly uniform flow rate of $114 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ and at an injection pressure of about 100 bars (Nicholson and Wesson, 1990). Between July, 1986 and July 1987, nearly 62 million L of waste was injected into the "Mt. Simon" Sandstone at a surface pressure of 10 MPa (Evans). On July 13, 1987, a 3.8 M earthquake occurred just east of Ashtabula and was followed by a large number of aftershocks, approximately 70 of which were recorded (Table 10). The epicenter of the mainshock was less than 1 km from the RES well. All of these earthquakes were located in a narrow cluster on an east-west-striking vertical fault about 1.5 km long that extended from 1.6 to 3.2 km in depth (Armbruster and others, 1987; Seeber and Armbruster, 1993).

This began a 14-year sequence of seismicity in the Ashtabula area. From 1987 to 2001, more than 100 earthquakes with magnitudes between 1.2 to 4.5 mbLg have been recorded by seismic networks in Canada and Ohio. No historic earthquakes are known to have occurred within 30 km of Ashtabula (Nicholson and Wesson, 1990; Seeber and Armbruster, 1993). This, coupled with the proximal location of numerous earthquakes near the well after it was installed, suggests that this seismicity has been induced by the injection fluid from the RES well.

Opposition to this interpretation has been stated by Gerrish and Nieto (MS, 2003) who suggest that the seismicity is not related to fluid injection for the reasons that 1 . The earthquakes are not clustered but random, and 2 . The injection pressure was not sufficient to cause failure on favorably oriented faults. The objective of this project is to address
reason 1 by relocating the published earthquake epicenters in order to observe any association, or lack thereof, with the RES well. By doing these relocations with multiple seismic networks, we should see a reduction of the error ellipse inherent in doing initial earthquake locations with a single network.

It is important that we understand the mechanisms of induced seismicity in order to understand the dangers that are involved by triggering earthquakes. By examining this sequence of earthquakes, I hope to gain valuable insight into the pattern of earthquake epicenters. Their pattern near the vicinity of injection wells and their correlation to the regional geologic and tectonic setting is of great importance in earthquake hazard mitigation.

## Geologic and Tectonic Setting

The Precambrian basement of northeastern Ohio consists of crystalline rocks of the Grenville province (fig. 1). This province represents the remnants of an orogenic event known as the Grenville orogeny, which occurred approximately one billion years ago, as the result of continental collision on the eastern edge of Laurentia, the landmass containing present day North America (Hansen, 1996). The basement is unconformably overlain by gentle east-dipping Paleozoic strata at a depth of approximately 1.8 km in the vicinity of Ashtabula.

For much of eastern North America, the direction of maximum horizontal stress, ( $\sigma_{-} 1, S_{-}$Hmax), is consistent (fig. 2). This stress field has been attributed primarily to plate motion or stress from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Sbar and Sykes, 1973; Zoback, 1992). In the region of this study, northeast Ohio, the direction of $\sigma_{-} 1$ is $74^{\circ}$ (fig. 3) (Plankell, 2000). The best evidence of this stress has been demonstrated by the large number of earthquakes that have been recorded in the area near Ashtabula (fig. 4). Earthquake focal mechanisms are the primary tool for determining the orientation of maximum horizontal stress in seismically active areas. This seismicity is due in large part to reactivation of ancient deep faults due to this stress field (Lucius and Von Frese, 1988). These rocks are thought to be at or near failure and thus capable of producing earthquakes on faults oriented favorably with $\sigma_{-}$. These earthquakes have been shown, from precise location determinations by locally deployed portable seismometers, to be located along east-weststriking vertical faults. The earthquakes of 1987 were confined to an area about 1.5 km long by 0.25 km wide at a depth of 2 km (Hansen et. al., 2001). The sense of the
movement on these faults was left-lateral strike-slip (Seeber and Armbruster, 1987, 1993)
(fig 5).


Figure 1. Basement structures in Ohio (modified from Division of Geological Survey Digital Chart and Map Series No. 7, 1991). This map portrays a number of deep faults and other structures that have been identified by a variety of geologic studies. Some faults are well known, whereas others are speculative. Very few of them are visible at the surface. The Anna, or Fort Wayne, rift in western Ohio is the site of numerous historic earthquakes.


Figure 2. Orientation of principle stress for North America. From The World Stress Map Project.


Figure 3. Regional map of eastern North America with superimposed rose diagrams showing structural orientations for 6 sub-regions. Dashed lines on rose diagrams represent $+/-25^{\circ}$ error associated with stress orientation (black arrows), solid lines represent $50^{\circ}$ interval of preferred structural orientation. (Plankell, 2000).


Figure 4. Map of earthquake epicenters in Ohio and adjacent areas.


Figure 5. Accurate hypocenters and first motions in Ashtabula, Ohio from two short-term deployments of portable seismographs. Data from 1987 illuminated a vertical east-west-striking left lateral fault in the basement (Seeber and Armbruster, 1993). This activity was $0.7-2.0 \mathrm{~km}$ from the RES well (star) and started one year after the onset of injection. Several episodes of felt earthquakes during the following years were not monitored by local instruments. An mbLg 4.3 mainshock on 25 January 2001 caused light damage (MMVI). The focal mechanism and epicenter of this event were obtained from regional waveforms. Another fore-main-aftershock subsequence during June 2001 was captured with a local network. These data illuminate another fault (thick line is fault trace at unconformity) similar to the one in 1987, but 4 km south. The January 2001 mainshock is probably also from this source. The two dotted first motions are from the latest and westernmost hypocenter and are inconsistent with the composite focal mechanism. (Seeber, Armbruster, Kim).

## History of Seismicity

There are four anomalous aspects of the Ashtabula sequence that are very important to the conclusion of the origin of the seismicity: 1) Lack of demonstrable seismicity at Ashtabula prior to 1987. 2) Hypocentral depths of Ashtabula events at, just below, or just above the Precambrian/Paleozoic unconformity (associated with injection depth). 3) Abundant aftershock swarms, many of them felt, following comparatively small mainshocks. This is uncharacteristic of natural earthquakes in the region. 4) Presence of basement/Lower Paleozoic faults in the region where sufficient data are available (Hansen, 2003).

## The 1987 Sequence

Ashtabula is located on the eastern North American platform and is considered to be in a stable continental region. As stated previously, no earthquakes have been recorded or are thought to have occurred within 30 km of Ashtabula prior to 1987, only one year after the beginning of fluid injection at the RES well. Furthermore, there is no evidence for seismicity near Ashtabula prior to 1987 despite intensive searches for historic data (Seeber and Armbruster, 1993; Hansen, 2003). Following the July 13, 1987 earthquake ( 3.8 mbLg ), as many as 36 aftershocks were recorded by Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO) during a ten day period following the mainshock (Seeber and Armbruster, 1993) (fig. 6). These earthquakes were monitored with portable seismometers distributed throughout the city of Ashtabula. Seismometers from the John Carroll University seismic network, operating southwest of Ashtabula, recorded the larger events also, as too did the Geological Survey of Canada. These networks also recorded other sequences of earthquakes in 1990 and 1992, though some of these are
thought to be associated with the Akron Magnetic Lineament (fig. 1). Northeast Ohio seismicity correlates spatially with the prominent Akron Magnetic Lineament, which coincides with a portion of the Akron Magnetic Boundary, a region that probably reflects different lithologies in the Precambrian basement (Seeber, and Armbruster, 1993). Other earthquakes recorded in the region occurred in 1995 and 2000 as well.


Figure 6. Histogram of seismicity at Ashtabula. Data from the local network by LDEO above and data from JCU below.

The 2001 Sequence
On January 20, 2001, a small ( 2.0 mbLg ) foreshock was followed by a 4.5 mbLg mainshock on January 26. This event resulted in about 50 reports of minor-to-moderate damage in Ashtabula, including breakage of two natural gas lines. The area affected by the earthquake was large (fig. 7) and was felt as far north as Ontario Canada. Isoseismal maps prepared by Margaret Hopper of the U.S. Geological Survey show the northward propagation of energy into Ontario (fig. 8). With the recent (1999) installation of the Ohio Seismic Network, the 2001 events were able to be determined even more precisely. These events had epicenters approximately 4.5 km south of the 1987 earthquakes; a location confirmed by another deployment of portable instruments from Lamont-Doherty for the June 3 aftershock (fig 6). The depths of these earthquakes were confirmed to be about $2.1-2.5 \mathrm{~km}$ in depth and situated on an east-west-oriented strike-slip fault (Hansen et. al., 2001; Seeber and Armbruster, 2004). There is considerable attenuation of seismic energy south into Ohio, along with a strong propagation of energy northward into Canada. The cause of this phenomenon is unknown.


Figure 7. Modified Mercalli Intensity felt report area for the January 25, 20014.5 mbLg mainshock. For a description of the Modified Mercalli scale, see appendix A.


Figure 8. Isoseismal map for the January 25, 2001, mainshock at Ashtabula. The map depicts areas of approximately equal Modified Mercalli intensities as reported by residents in the areas which the earthquake was felt or caused damage. Maximum Modified Mercalli intensity was VI at some locations in Ashtabula. Map prepared by Margaret Hopper of U.S.G.S. Canadian intensities courtesy of Sylvia Hayek of the Geological Survey of Canada.

## High-Pressure Fluid Injection

Flow in porous media
Fluids such a water, petroleum, and natural gas often flow through the Earth's crust. These fluids mainly flow through the interconnected passages of porous media such as sandstone and limestone and through fractures in these same rocks. For rocks that are not naturally porous, they can still be considered porous if they are extensively fractured. These fluids can flow through a porous medium under the influence of an applied pressure gradient. For laminar flow, which is characteristic of groundwater, the flow rate is linearly proportional to the pressure gradient and inversely proportional to the viscosity (Turcotte and Schubert, 2002).

The RES well

The RES well is located at $41.9078^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $80.7322^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. The company began injecting $3.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of calcium chloride brine, with a viscosity of 1.5 centipose at reservoir temperature (Gerrish and Nieto, 2003), into the base of the Mt. Simon Sandstone at a depth of approximately 1.8 km in May 1986 (fig. 9). Injection ceased on June 20, 1994. By the time the well ceased operations, the pressure increase was 8.53 MPa above the pressure before injection of 18.84 MPa (Gerrish and Nieto, 2003). Estimates of stress inferred from commercial hydrofracturing measurements suggest that the state of stress in northeast Ohio is close to the theoretical threshold for failure along favorably oriented faults. It has been suggested that nominal fluid injection pressures of as little as 11 MPa , in the zone immediately surrounding the well bottom would be in a critical stress state for favorably oriented fractures (Nicholson and Wesson, 1990).

Increasing pore fluid pressure quickly reduces the shear strength of rock. The simple Coulomb failure criterion, which presumes the effective normal stress acting on the fault, is given by the total normal stress minus the pore pressure and may adequately describe the shear strength of faults (Evans).


Figure 9. Section running north-south from Lake Erie showing depth of injection and local stratigraphy. (Evans).

## Analytical Procedure

The main goal of this work was to relocate epicenters of approximately 36 earthquakes above 2.0 magnitude that occurred in the Ashtabula region from 1987 to 2001 (Table 1). These events were initially located separately by at least two seismic networks each. The events from 1987 through 1990 were located by the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), the John Carroll University Seismic Network (JCU), and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and by portable seismometers installed by The LamontDoherty Earth Observatory (LDEO). For events from 1992 through 2000, the only data available were those from GSC and LDEO. From 2000 to 2001, data from The Ohio Seismic Network (OSN) were also available. For this thesis, only data from GSC, JCU and LDEO were used; however, for comparative purposes, epicenter locations from OSN have been introduced. For this study, I did not re-pick phase arrival times; they are original to the seismic networks that published them.

LDEO locations are the most precise because the portable seismometers were located in proximity to the mainshocks and were sensitive enough to detect numerous microearthquakes. Locations for individual earthquakes, determined by other seismic networks, have been inconsistent. For example, GSC locations for the Ashtabula earthquakes are all farther to the north than determined by the LDEO portables. The locations given by JCU correspond well with LDEO locations, but a few are farther to the west. These biases are mostly due to the large azimuthal gaps associated with network geometry. The locations of JCU instruments were to the west of Ashtabula, which would tend to 'pull' the earthquake locations in that direction. The location of GSC instruments, all to the north of Ashtabula, tends to 'pull' all of their locations to the north. It has also
been suggested that the thinning of the Paleozoic rocks towards Canada and the corresponding shallower crystalline basement rocks, having higher $P$ and $S$ wave velocities, causes earlier than normal arrival times for these phases. This would cause the locations of the earthquakes to plot closer to Canadian stations and thus be inaccurately located farther to the north. Another reason for the inconsistency may be due to the difficulty of accurately determining the arrival of a wave phase amidst all the background noise recorded at a seismic station. At long distances, wave attenuation can significantly reduce the signal of the arriving phases, making it difficult to accurately pick the correct arrival time.

## The Double Difference technique

To combat the apparent discrepancy in epicenter locations, I utilized the Double Difference technique of Waldhauser and Ellsworth (2000). This technique takes advantage of the fact that if the hypocentral separation between two earthquakes is small compared to the event-station distance and the scale length of velocity heterogeneity, then the ray paths between the source region and a common station are similar along almost the entire ray path (Frechet, 1985; Got et al., 1994). The residual between observed and calculated travel-time difference (or double difference) between two events at a common station, are related to adjustments in the relative position of the hypocenters and origin times through the partial derivatives of the travel times for each event with respect to the unknown. This approach is especially useful in regions with a dense distribution of seismicity, such as northeast Ohio. Dr. Larry J. Ruff of the University of

Michigan, seismic advisor to the Ohio Seismic Network, created a software program that performs this operation; it is called Epirelocator.

The technique calls for calculating the time difference in same phase arrival times for a common station for several different earthquakes, one a 'Master' and the rest 'Slaves' (tables 2, 4 and 5). For this technique, I designated a 'Master' earthquake by its larger magnitude and its accurate location determination from LDEO portable seismometers. The Master earthquake is the one in which all other earthquakes in that vicinity will be located relative to. For common stations between the Master-Slave events, I calculated the arrival time difference between the Master and Slave, seconds only, for each phase, P and S . The average of these arrival times is then entered as the origin time (OT) of the slave earthquake.

Ex.:

| Station | Phase | Arrival time (s) | $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ with Master | Difference time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tyno | Pg | 36.21 | -21.45 | 8.55 |
| Tyno | Sg | 54.98 | -22.37 | 7.63 |
| Acto | Pg | 12.01 | -15.45 | 14.55 |
| Acto | Sn | 30.88 | -15.22 | 14.78 |

If the slave - master time is negative, then add the same number of seconds to each $\Delta t$ with master time, to obtain a positive number (for the above example 30 seconds were added to $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ ). Then, take the average of the difference times and enter that as the origin time for the master event. Once all station and phase arrival information is entered and
processed a new location for the earthquake (latitude and longitude) is calculated. (Tables 2, 4 and 5).

## Correcting for residuals

As well as calculating a new location for the earthquake epicenter, Epirelocator also calculates the travel-time residual for each wave phase: $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Pg}, \mathrm{S}$, and Sg (Appendix B). The residual is the time difference in seconds for the theoretical travel time, based on the velocity model used, and the observed travel time. An ideal residual would be $\pm 1.0 \mathrm{~s}$ or less. For this study, in general, any residual that was much higher than $\pm 1.0$ was rejected from the solution process. These high residuals were probably the result of an erroneous pick, or a pick with poor quality, of the phase arrival time by the original network.

I then decided to determine epicentral locations based on these residuals as well. Adding these residual times to the original arrival times should give a more accurate arrival time. These new arrival times, in seconds, were then entered into another similar software package designed by Dr. Larry J. Ruff called Epilocator. These events were run and processed giving new locations in latitude and longitude. (Tables 3, 6, and 7) Ex:

| Station/phase |  | arrival time (s) |  | residual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| corrected arrival time (s) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tyno Pg |  |
|  | 44.31 |

For reasons not yet understood, this technique produced very erratic results in epicentral locations (Tables 3, 6, and 7). There was no rhyme or reason to the locations
produced using this technique. Further information and research should be conducted to evaluate the validity of this approach. Unfortunately this is beyond the scope of this thesis and therefore, these data have been omitted.

Plots of Epicentral Locations
The maps depicting epicentral locations, Maps 1-7, were created using the Arc Map, GIS software package. I used the Ashtabula North 7.5-minute quadrangle topographic map overlain on a digital raster graph of a 3-D hill-shade map of the same area. Latitude and longitude of the epicenters were entered into a spreadsheet and then imported into Arc. For clarity, each event was then assigned a letter following the year that the event occurred, for example, 1987-D (Table 9). If GSC and JCU both recorded an event, the same letter was used between each network. Where several events occurred at the same location, multiple letters have been designated for that point.

## Discussion

Plotting the epicentral locations from data obtained in this study indicates a few trends. As suspected, most original JCU epicentral locations were 'pulled' to the west toward their network and most GSC epicentral locations were 'pulled' to the north toward their network. After doing the relocations, almost all of the new slave epicentral locations were relocated closer to their respective master event (Maps 5 \& 6). However, there have been a few erratic epicentral locations produced and I have analyzed them to try and determine the reason for this.

On Map 5, the following events were analyzed for their deviation from the trend of the rest of the data: $1987 \mathrm{~B}, 1987 \mathrm{H}, 1987 \mathrm{~J}$, and 1990 T . The common trend for all of these events is small earthquake magnitude, poor station coverage, geometry, and thus, large azimuthal gaps. Each of these events was only recorded at $3-4$ stations, which is less than the common 5-station coverage for the other events. These aspects most likely led to the erratic behavior of the solution process and thus epicentral locations determined by the original network and by Epirelocator. We see on Map 6 that the only erratic event recorded and shown was relocated farther away from the master event than GSC's original location (1987 D). It was determined that the combination of high travel-time residuals and poor station coverage (only 3 recording stations) led to the erratic location. The original location of this same event by JCU places it very close to the master event and the new location determined by Epirelocator from JCU data, put it at the master event location.

The remainder of the earthquakes have been located more accurately and are closer to their respective master event location. For the event from 1992, (1992 J, Maps
$4 \& 6$ ) the epicenter was relocated very far to the east from its original location determined by GSC. The event labeled 1990 R is thought to be associated with the NE trending Akron Magnetic Lineament. This event was also only recorded by 3 stations, which may have led to a poor location solution. There are obvious error margins associated with the actual location of earthquake epicenters. For this study, most error ellipses, determined by Epirelocator, were oriented nearly NE - SW and their sizes are as follows: $0-1 \mathrm{~km} 31.60 \%, 1-2 \mathrm{~km} 36.84 \%, 2-3 \mathrm{~km} 10.50 \%, 3-4 \mathrm{~km} 10.50 \%, 4-5$ $\mathrm{km} 10.50 \%$ for the major axis, sigma 1 (Appendix C). The widths of the minor axes are approximately 0.10 km to 0.68 km .

The new locations of the earthquakes determined by Epirelocator, fit the proposed hypothesis. It was hypothesized that there would be a reduction of apparent epicenter 'scatter'. Although the locations of the earthquakes may never be known precisely, the relocation procedure places them, relative to their error ellipses, in a tight cluster near the master events located on the strike-slip faults noted by Seeber and Armbruster (1993, 2004) (Figure 5). It can also be seen from Maps 2, 4 and 7 that there is an apparent movement of earthquake epicenters away from the RES well with time. The epicenter locations from 1987 seem to be closest to the RES well, within the error of margin. Events in 1989 and 1990 are relatively in the same area. It is too difficult to see the exact distance these later epicenters have moved due to the error ellipses and the fact that there may have been very little distance traveled by the fluid from the well in only two to three years time. The 2001 events, are located farther to the south and west from the RES well and the earlier events. This would suggest that the pressure front is moving
predominantly to the southwest, and intersecting the two strike-slip faults identified by Seeber and Armbruster (1993, 2004).

## Conclusion and Future Studies

From these data, it is evident that previous epicentral locations were 'scattered', but not for the reasons stated by Gerrish and Nieto (2003). It has been shown (Maps 5 \& 6) that after performing relocations using the Double-Difference technique, the epicenters are actually clustered in a smaller area near the precisely located master earthquakes. This demonstrates the accuracy and validity of using this approach for finding earthquake locations in areas of high seismicity. The apparent 'scatter' was due, in part to poor station coverage, geometry, and azimuthal gap. Epicenters were consistently relocated closer to the master events and thereby have shown a reduction of 'scatter'. These groups of epicentral locations are tight, within their error of margin, except for those thought to be associated with the Akron Magnetic Lineament.

There is a large amount of seismic data for this region that could also be analyzed. It would be beneficial for future studies of these data to be incorporated into this research. Also, by combining the phase arrival time data for each earthquake from multiple seismic networks into Epirelocator, further reduction of the error ellipses associated with epicenter locations could be achieved. This would most likely happen since the station coverage for each earthquake would increase substantially resulting in a smaller azimuthal gap and better station geometry. Unfortunately for this thesis, I did not have time to do this.

Earthquakes on the Akron Magnetic Lineament are associated with NE - SW strike-slip faulting whereas those in the immediate vicinity of Ashtabula are occurring on E - W strike-slip faults (Seeber and Armbruster, 2004). The Akron Magnetic Lineament has generated a few earthquakes in the past (Hansen, 2002). The earthquakes on March 26, 28, and 31, 1992 (Table 1) appear to be northwest of Ashtabula and are thought to be associated with the Akron Magnetic Lineament. The event on March 15 at 06:13, labeled 1992 J, was originally located considerably west of Ashtabula by GSC. Relocation of this event put the location on the east side of Ashtabula, within the cluster of events in this area. Data for 1992 events recorded by JCU could not be recovered from original data in time for this work. It would be beneficial for future work to be done using these data in order to better define the epicentral locations of these earthquakes and therefore to determine the structural features that they are related to. By having a clearer picture of which earthquakes are associated with Ashtabula seismicity and which earthquakes are associated with the Akron Magnetic Lineament, a better understanding of the pattern of earthquakes associated from induced mechanisms can be inferred. This would also serve to reduce the apparent 'scatter' of epicentral locations by showing a clear division of earthquakes associated with their respective structural features.

This work is important in the realm of earthquake-hazard reduction and mitigation. The abundance of earthquakes located in close proximity to a high-pressure fluid injection well, in an area previously devoid of seismic activity, clearly demonstrates the dangers associated with high-pressure waste disposal. More research is needed to investigate the role of fluids in fault zones, and care should be taken in choosing sites for waste-fluid injection. A thorough investigation and search for structural features such as
hidden faults, especially in regions where the maximum horizontal stress is at or near failure, must be conducted. To ignore this advice could prove to be disastrous and costly. Although most earthquakes resulting from induced mechanisms are relatively small in magnitude, a few large ones have been recorded around M 5.5 (Nicholson \& Wesson, 1990). It may only be a matter of time before another earthquake of this magnitude occurs due to fluid injection. As many fluid injection wells are located in or near large cities, an earthquake of such large magnitude could be devastating.
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Mad 1. Oriainal edicenter locations from the John Carroll University Seismic Network. See Table 9 for letter explanations.







| Year | Date | Origin Time | Latilude | Longitude | Magnitude | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 05:49:19.4 | 41.90 | -80.76 | 3.80 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 05:58:52.3 | 41.88 | -80.70 | 2.20 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 07:52:12.9 | 41.90 | -80.76 | 3.00 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 13:05:23.6 | 41.90 | -80.77 | 2.90 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 18:25:12.0 | 41.90 | -80.77 | 2.80 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 19:00:08.5 | 41.90 | -80.77 | 2.30 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 19:39:19.4 | 41.90 | -80.77 | 2.10 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 20:53:05.5 | 41.90 | -80.77 | 2.20 | JCU |
| 1987 | 13-Jul | 23:49:14.5 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 2.40 | JCU |
| 1987 | 14-Jul | 07:47:27.3 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 2.40 | JCU |
| 1987 | 14-Jul | 14:51:11.7 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 2.80 | JCU |
| 1987 | 16-Jul | 04:49:40.7 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 2.70 | JCU |
| 1987 | 16-Jul | 06:02:25.5 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 2.40 | JCU |
| 1989 | 1-Aug | 16:12:48.8 | 41.90 | -80.76 | 2.80 | JCU |
| 1989 | 1-Aug | 16:50:30.7 | 41.89 | -80.75 | 2.90 | JCU |
| 1989 | 3-Aug | 04:07:48.6 | 41.90 | -80.76 | 2.20 | JCU |
| 1990 | 1-Jan | 23:03:04.9 | 41.90 | -80.80 | 2.20 | JCU |
| 1990 | 24-Jul | 23:04:38.0 | 41.90 | -80.80 | 2.30 | JCU |
| 1990 | 26-Sep | 06:13:04.9 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 2.30 | JCU |
| 1990 | 18-Nov | 09:20:52.8 | 41.90 | -80.79 | 2.30 | JCU |
| 1992 | 15-Mar | 06:13 | 41.81 | -81.22 | 3.70 | GSC |
| 1992 | 26-Mar | 03:43:15.3 | 41.87 | -80.87 | 2.50 | JCU |
| 1992 | 28-Mar | 08:22:44.1 | 41.86 | -80.91 | 2.90 | JCU |
| 1992 | 31-Mar | 01:54:52.1 | 41.86 | -80.86 | 2.50 | JCU |
| 1992 | 7-Apr | 01:35:22.1 | 41.88 | -80.85 | 2.00 | JCU |
| 1995 | 23-Feb | 09:32:12.0 | 41.87 | -80.80 | 2.90 | GSC |
| 1995 | $9-\mathrm{Apr}$ | 11:37:29.0 | 41.97 | -80.75 | 2.40 | GSC |
| 2001 | 20-Jan | 02:05:07.0 | 41.88 | -80.78 | 2.60 | OSN |
| 2001 | 26-Jan | 03:03:20.6 | 41.87 | -80.76 | 4.50 | OSN |
| 2001 | 26-Jan | 03:11:30.0 | 41.87 | -80.76 | 2.00 | OSN |
| 2001 | 26-Jan | 03:45:25.0 | 41.87 | -80.76 | 2.20 | OSN |
| 2001 | 26-Jan | 05:11:05.0 | 41.87 | -80.76 | 2.00 | OSN |
| 2001 | 26-Jan | 05:36:58.0 | 41.87 | -80.76 | 3.20 | OSN |
| 2001 | 3 -Jun | 22:36:46.4 | 41.87 | -80.76 | 3.20 | OSN |
| 2001 | 5-Jun | 08:27:15.0 | 41.88 | -80.76 | 2.20 | OSN |

## station/phase





## Run : 2 $89080116: 12$ CHE P CHE S ECL P ECL S LER P LER S MEN P MEN S TOM P TOM S

(s) aw!l H!p

## (s) $\downarrow \nabla$ <br> ( <br> 


$\stackrel{5}{4}$


JCU Epirelocator runs 1987

## 



## 응

## (s) өu! ! H!p


Origin Time

7.89
8.02

Table 2.

JCU Epirelocator runs 1987
station/phase
Run : 5
arrival time (s)
870716 06:02
CHE P
CHE S


| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| N゙NN | へべ入入 |



（s）әس！！$\ddagger!p$
station／phase arrival time（s）
Run：9
870713 19：00

##  <br> MEN P MEN S TOM P TOM S

Origin Time


| station／phase <br> $\quad$ Run：11 | arrival time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\Delta t(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 870713 13：05 |  |  |
| CHE P | 33.55 | -17.13 |
| CHE S | 41.26 | -17.30 |
| ECL P | 31.31 | -17.30 |
| ECL S | 37.21 | -17.35 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| MEN P | 32.85 | -17.30 |
| MEN S | 40.13 | -17.42 |
| TOM P | 28.93 | -17.23 |
| TOM S | 33.17 | -17.41 |

Origin Time
Latitude
41.90
Table 2 cont．


| station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta t$ (s) | diff. time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 13 \\ 8707135: 58 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 62.90 | 12.22 | 12.22 |
| CHE S | 71.09 | 12.53 | 12.53 |
| ECLP | 60.32 | 11.71 | 11.71 |
| ECLS | 66.78 | 12.22 | 12.22 |
| LER P | 59.72 | 12.15 | 12.15 |
| LER S | 65.33 | 12.50 | 12.50 |
| TOM P | 58.21 | 12.05 | 12.05 |
| TOM S | 62.87 | 12.29 | 12.29 |
| Origin Time |  |  | 12.21 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Latitude } \\ 41.87 \end{array}$ | Longitude $-80.70$ | RMS: 0.24 |  |
| station/phase arrival time (s) $\quad \Delta t$ (s) diff. time (s)Run: 15$87071407: 47$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 37.27 | -13.41 | 6.59 |
| CHE S | 44.95 | -13.61 | 6.39 |
| ECL P | 34.98 | -13.63 | 6.37 |
| ECL S | 40.80 | -13.76 | 6.24 |
| LER P | 33.97 | -13.60 | 6.40 |
| LER S | 39.38 | -13.45 | 6.55 |
| MEN P | 36.74 | -13.41 | 6.59 |
| MEN S | 44.04 | -13.51 | 6.49 |
| TOM P | 32.60 | -13.56 | 6.44 |
| TOM S | 36.72 | -13.86 | 6.14 |
| Origin Time |  |  | 6.42 |
| Latitude 41.88 | Longitude | RMS: 0.13 |  |




| station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta t(s)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run : } 17 \\ 90072423: 04 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| CHE P | 47.28 | -3.40 |
| CHE S | 54.54 | -4.02 |
| MEN P | 46.50 | -3.65 |
| MEN S | 53.24 | -4.31 |
| TOM P | 42.98 | -3.18 |
| TOM S | 47.50 | -3.08 |
| Origin Time |  |  |
| Latitude | Longitude |  |
| 41.98 | -80.83 | RMS: 0.38 |
| station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta t(s)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 19 \\ 90111809: 20 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| CHE P | 63.00 | 12.32 |
| CHE S | 70.88 | 12.32 |
| ECLP | 60.74 | 12.13 |
| ECL S | 67.08 | 12.52 |
| MEN P | 62.62 | 12.47 |
| MEN S | 69.18 | 11.63 |
| TOM P | 58.34 | 12.18 |
| TOM S | 62.90 | 12.32 |
| Origin Time |  |  |
| Latitude | Longitude |  |
| 41.92 | -80.78 | RMS: 0.26 |






RMS： 0.31
Station／phase
$\quad$ Run ： 2
890801 16：12
CHE P
CHE S
ECL P
ECL S
LER P
LER S
MEN P
MEN S
TOM P
TOM S
RMS： 0.19

| Station／phase <br> Run： | arrival time（s） | residual |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 870714 14：51 |  |  |
| CHE P |  |  |
| CHE S | 21.96 | 0.10 |
| ECL P | 29.71 | 0.01 |
| ECL S | 19.59 | -0.16 |
| LER P | 25.54 | -0.16 |
| LER S | 18.59 | -0.12 |
| MEN P | 24.34 | 0.37 |
| MEN S | 21.31 | 0.02 |
| TOM P | 28.71 | 0.02 |
| TOM S | 17.17 | -0.13 |
|  | 21.76 | 0.04 |


| 100 | 99＇89 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\angle 0 \cdot 0$ | $0 \varepsilon \cdot \downarrow ¢$ |
| O1．0－ | 乙S＇99 |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 0ع＇89 |
| $91^{\circ} 0^{-}$ | ヤL＇09 |
| 70 0 | 89＇95 |
| 80\％ $0^{-}$ | 09＇29 |
| O1．0－ | 89＇99 |
| \＆0\％${ }^{-}$ | 09＇99 |
| £て＇0 | 86＇8S |




91＇0：SWY

| \％ | ¢ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | న్లNへ్ల్ర | ¢ ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흥 } \\ & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ |

｜enp！se」
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 응ㅇ응 } & \text { 은응 } \\ 0 \text { O웅 }\end{array}$

arrival time（s）

## $68: 61$ ह1LO $\angle 8$ $8:$ uny eseud／uolyels



MEN S
TOM P
TOM S

JCU Epicenter locations for corrected residuals re－run in Epilocator．

| Station／phase | arrival time（s） | residual | corrected arrival time（s） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 5 \\ 87071606: 02 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 35.86 | 0.46 | 36.32 |
| CHE S | 43.17 | －0．11 | 43.06 |
| ECL P | 33.31 | －0．02 | 33.29 |
| ECL S | 39.16 | －0．12 | 39.04 |
| LER P | 32.30 | 0.01 | 32.31 |
| LER S | 37.45 | －0．10 | 37.35 |
| MEN P | 34.90 | 0.03 | 34.93 |
| MEN S | 42.15 | －0．12 | 42.03 |
| TOM P | 30.89 | 0.01 | 30.90 |
| TOM S |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | corrected lat／long |
|  | RMS： 0.25 |  | $41.89-80.74$ |
| Station／phase | arrival time（s） | residual | corrected arrival time（s） |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run : } 7 \\ & 870713 \text { 20:53 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P |  |  |  |
| CHE S | 23.44 | 0.12 | 23.56 |
| ECLP | 13.29 | －0．08 | 13.21 |
| ECLS | 19.07 | －0．25 | 18.82 |
| LER P | 12.36 | 0.03 | 12.39 |
| MEN P | 15.17 | 0.26 | 15.43 |
| TOM P | 10.95 | 0.03 | 10.98 |
| TOM S | 15.22 | －0．12 | 15.10 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat／long |
|  | RMS： 0.40 |  | $41.85-80.74$ |

[^0]| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 10 \\ 870713 \text { 18:25 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 21.71 | 0.40 | 22.11 |
| CHE S | 29.66 | 0.47 | 30.13 |
| ECL P | 19.80 | 0.56 | 20.36 |
| ECLS | 25.60 | 0.41 | 26.01 |
| MEN P | 21.35 | 0.57 | 21.92 |
| MEN S | 28.70 | 0.52 | 29.22 |
| TOM P | 17.32 | 0.53 | 17.85 |
| TOM S | 21.76 | 0.55 | 22.31 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.28 |  | 41.91 -80.72 |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 12 \\ 87071307: 52 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 22.91 | 0.09 | 23.00 |
| CHE S | 30.78 | 0.08 | 30.86 |
| ECLP | 20.81 | 0.06 | 20.87 |
| ECL S | 26.70 | 0.00 | 26.70 |
| MEN P | 22.28 | -0.01 | 22.27 |
| MEN S | 29.57 | -0.12 | 29.45 |
| TOM P | 18.29 | -0.01 | 18.28 |
| TOM S | 22.65 | -0.07 | 22.58 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.21 |  | 41.91 -80.75 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station/phase } \\ \text { Run:9 } \\ \text { 870713 19:00 } \end{gathered}$ | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| CHE S | 26.28 | 0.07 | 26.35 |
| ECL P | 16.29 | 0.03 | 16.32 |
| ECL S | 22.08 | -0.13 | 21.95 |
| LER P | 15.27 | 0.05 | 15.32 |
| MEN P | 17.84 | 0.04 | 17.88 |
| MEN S | 25.10 | -0.10 | 25.00 |
| TOM P | 13.90 | 0.09 | 13.99 |
| TOM S | 18.17 | -0.06 | 18.11 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.16 |  | $41.92-80.75$ |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 11 \\ 87071313: 05 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 33.55 | 0.17 | 33.72 |
| CHE S | 41.26 | 0.00 | 41.26 |
| ECL P | 31.31 | 0.00 | 31.31 |
| ECLS | 37.21 | -0.05 | 37.16 |
| MEN P | 32.85 | 0.00 | 32.85 |
| MEN S | 40.13 | -0.12 | 40.01 |
| TOM P | 28.93 | 0.07 | 29.00 |
| TOM S | 33.17 | -0.11 | 33.06 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.17 |  | $41.91-80.75$ |

[^1]| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run : } 14 \\ 87071305: 49 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 29.51 | 0.13 | 29.64 |
| CHE S | 37.49 | 0.23 | 37.72 |
| ECL P | 27.35 | 0.04 | 27.39 |
| ECLS | 33.39 | 0.13 | 33.52 |
| MEN P | 28.40 | -0.45 | 27.95 |
| MEN S | 36.22 | -0.03 | 36.19 |
| TOM P | 24.89 | 0.03 | 24.92 |
| TOM S | 29.18 | -0.10 | 29.08 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.40 |  | $41.96-80.73$ |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 16 \\ 90010123: 03 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 15.02 | 0.02 | 15.04 |
| CHE S | 22.96 | 0.08 | 23.04 |
| ECL P | 12.92 | -0.01 | 12.91 |
| ECL S | 18.50 | -0.38 | 18.12 |
| LER P | 11.64 | -0.25 | 11.39 |
| LER S | 17.70 | 0.55 | 18.25 |
| MEN P | 14.16 | -0.31 | 13.85 |
| TOM P' | 10.26 | -0.22 | 10.04 |
| TOM S | 15.42 | 0.52 | 15.94 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.66 |  | $41.89-80.60$ |

JCU Epicenter locations for corrected residuals re-run in Epilocator.

| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 13 \\ 8707135: 58 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 62.90 | 0.01 | 62.91 |
| CHE S | 71.09 | 0.32 | 71.41 |
| ECLP | 60.32 | -0.50 | 59.82 |
| ECL S | 66.78 | 0.01 | 66.79 |
| LER P | 59.72 | -0.06 | 59.66 |
| LER S | 65.33 | 0.29 | 65.62 |
| TOM P | 58.21 | -0.16 | 58.05 |
| TOM S | 62.87 | 0.08 | 62.95 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.19 |  | 41.87 -80.59 |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 15 \\ 87071407: 47 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 37.27 | 0.17 | 37.44 |
| CHE S | 44.95 | -0.03 | 44.92 |
| ECL P | 34.98 | -0.05 | 34.93 |
| ECL S | 40.80 | -0.18 | 40.62 |
| LER P | 33.97 | -0.02 | 33.95 |
| LER S | 39.38 | 0.13 | 39.51 |
| MEN P | 36.74 | 0.17 | 36.91 |
| MEN S | 44.04 | 0.07 | 44.11 |
| TOM P | 32.60 | 0.02 | 32.62 |
| TOM S | 36.72 | -0.28 | 36.44 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.15 |  | 41.87 -80.70 |

Table 3 cont.




JCU Epicenter locations for corrected residuals re-run in Epilocator.

| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run: } 17 \\ 900724 \text { 23:04 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 47.28 | 0.21 | 47.49 |
| CHE S | 54.54 | -0.41 | 54.13 |
|  |  |  |  |
| MEN P | 46.50 | -0.04 | 46.46 |
| MEN S | 53.24 | -0.70 | 52.54 |
| TOM P | 42.98 | 0.43 | 43.41 |
| TOM S | 47.50 | 0.53 | 48.03 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.38 |  | $42.00-80.94$ |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Run : } 19 \\ 901118 \text { 09:20 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| CHE P | 63.00 | 0.08 | 63.08 |
| CHE S | 70.88 | 0.08 | 70.96 |
| ECL P | 60.74 | -0.11 | 60.63 |
| ECL S | 67.08 | 0.28 | 67.36 |
| MEN P | 62.62 | 0.23 | 62.85 |
| MEN S | 69.18 | -0.61 | 68.57 |
| TOM P | 58.34 | -0.06 | 58.28 |
| TOM S | 62.90 | 0.08 | 62.98 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.48 |  | $41.96-80.79$ |

Table 3 cont.
diff. time (s)
$\begin{array}{lll}\infty \\ \infty \\ \infty & \infty \\ \infty & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ \end{array}$

$\qquad$

LDN P
LDN S
ELF P
ELF S
WEO P
WEO S



Station/phase
Run: 2G
$87071313: 05$

##  <br> $\underset{~ N ~}{\text { N }}$

(s) әس!! $\#!p$
$\infty 8$ O
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\infty \infty^{\circ} \infty$

N

| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta t(s)$ | diff. time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run: 1G } \\ & 01060508: 27 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| TYNO Pg | 41.18 | -30.40 | 4.60 |
| TYNO Sg | 58.94 | -31.47 | 3.53 |
| RD03 Pg | 43.48 | -29.02 |  |
| RD03 Sg | 61.65 | -29.75 | 5.25 |
| RD04 Pg | 42.74 | -30.83 | 4.17 |
| RD04 Sg | 62.47 | -30.78 | 4.22 |
| RD02 Sg | 64.92 | -30.43 | 4.57 |
| STCO Sn | 71.09 | -29.69 | 5.31 |
| ACTO Sg | 72.25 | -30.62 | 4.38 |
| BRCO Sg | 89.35 | -31.53 | 3.47 |
| Origin Time |  |  | 4.39 |
| Latitude | Longitude |  |  |
| 41.88 | -80.81 | MS: 0.50 |  |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta t(s)$ | diff. time (s) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run: 3G } \\ & 01012605: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| TYNO Sg | 48.58 | -41.83 | 3.17 |
| RD03 Pg |  |  |  |
| RD03 Sg | 50.28 | -41.12 | 3.88 |
| RD04 Pg |  |  |  |
| RD04 Sg | 51.80 | -41.45 | 3.55 |
| RD02 Sg | 53.37 | -41.98 | 3.02 |
| EFO Pg | 33.77 | -41.88 | 3.12 |
| EFO Sg | 55.14 | -41.08 | 3.92 |
| RD01 Pg | 35.31 | -41.31 | 3.69 |
| RD01 Sg | 57.00 | -41.50 | 3.50 |
| STCO Sn | 60.32 | -40.46 | 4.54 |
| ACTO Pn | 37.45 | -41.53 | 3.47 |
| ACTO Sg | 60.56 | -42.31 | 2.69 |
| BRCO Sg | 78.66 | -42.22 | 2.78 |
| Origin Time |  |  | 3.44 |
| Latitude $41.87$ | Longitude | $\text { MS: } 0.46$ |  |


| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ (s) | diff. time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run: 2G $010126 \text { 05:36 }$ |  |  |  |
| TYNO Pg | 76.32 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| TYNO Sg | 95.22 | 4.81 | 4.81 |
| RD03 Pg | 77.13 | 4.63 | 4.63 |
| RD03 Sg | 95.84 | 4.44 | 4.44 |
| EFO Pg | 80.34 | 4.69 | 4.69 |
| EFO Sg | 100.00 | 3.78 | 3.78 |
| STCO Pn | 82.93 | 4.72 | 4.72 |
| STCO Sn | 105.67 | 4.89 | 4.89 |
| ACTO Pn | 83.60 | 4.62 | 4.62 |
| ACTO Sg | 107.74 | 4.87 | 4.87 |
| BRCO Sg | 124.98 | 4.10 | 4.10 |
| Origin Time |  |  | 4.57 |
| Latitude | Longitude |  |  |
| 41.86 | -80.77 | MS: 0.38 |  |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | $\Delta t(s)$ | diff. time (s) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run: 4G } \\ & 01012603: 45 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| TYNO Sg | 66.99 | -23.42 | 1.58 |
| RD03 Sg | 68.92 | -22.48 | 2.52 |
| RD04 Sg | 70.37 | -22.88 | 2.12 |
| RD02 Sg | 73.47 | -21.88 | 3.12 |
| EFO Pg | 53.08 | -22.57 | 2.43 |
| EFO Sg | 73.79 | -22.43 | 2.57 |
| RD01 Sg | 77.02 | -21.48 | 3.52 |
| BRCO Sg | 98.17 | -22.71 | 2.29 |
| Origin Time |  |  | 2.52 |
| Latitude $41.86$ | Longitude $-80.77$ | $\text { MS: } 0.70$ |  |



$\stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{\cong}$





던




ㅇ
$\stackrel{\text { E }}{\circ}$
$\div$
$\stackrel{10}{6}$
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
103.38

Longitude
-80.78 RMS: 0.88
 $98 \cdot เ \downarrow$
әрпџ!


EFO Pg
EFO Sg

## STCO Sn

## әш! $\perp$ и! <br> BRCO Sg


Longitude
-80.79 RMS: 0.40
Table 5 cont.
ed arrival time (s)
corrected latt/long
n/a
ime (s) residual
Too few stations.

56.50
96.37
Station/phase
$\quad$ Run: 3G
870713 07:52
DLA P
DLA S
LDN P
LDN S
ELF P
ELF S
WEO P
WEO S

| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run: 2G |  |  |  |
| 870713 13:05 |  |  |  |
| DLAP |  |  |  |
| DLA S |  |  |  |
| LDN P | 44.28 | -1.34 | 42.94 |
| LDN S | 60.08 | -0.94 | 59.14 |
| ELF P | 47.08 | -1.33 | 45.75 |
| ELF S | 65.12 | -1.54 | 63.58 |
| WEO P | 70.52 | -1.31 | 69.21 |
| WEOS | 108.22 |  |  |
|  |  |  | corrected latt/long |
|  | RMS: 0.32 |  | $41.82-81.00$ |


| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Run:4G <br> $87071305: 49$ |  |  |  |
| DLA P |  |  |  |
| DLA S |  |  | 41.21 |
| LDN P | 40.28 | 0.93 | 56.85 |
| LDN S | 55.80 | 1.05 | 44.02 |
| ELF P | 43.08 | 0.94 | 59.61 |
| ELF S | 60.00 | -0.39 | 60.50 |
| WEO P | 63.03 | -2.53 |  |
| WEO S |  |  | corrected lattlong |
|  |  | $42.17-80.21$ |  |

Table 6.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qi } \\ & \text { ì } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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TYNO Pg
TYNO Sg
RD03 Pg
RD0 4 Pg

RDD02 Sg

BRCO Sg

| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run: 5G 010126 03:11 |  |  |  |
| TYNO Pg | 53.45 | -0.99 | 52.46 |
| TYNO Sg | 73.10 | -0.17 | 72.93 |
| RD03 Pg | 54.94 | -0.42 | 54.52 |
| RD03 Sg | 74.22 | -0.04 | 74.18 |
| RD04 Pg | 57.87 | 1.44 | 59.31 |
| RD04 Sg | 75.48 | -0.63 | 74.85 |
| EFO Pg | 57.94 | -0.57 | 57.37 |
| EFO Sg | 79.78 | 0.7 | 80.48 |
| STCO Sn | 84.63 | 0.99 | 85.62 |
| BRCO Sg | 103.38 | -0.36 | 103.02 |
|  |  |  | corrected lat/long |
|  | RMS: 0.61 |  | $41.90-80.88$ |
| Station/phase | arrival time (s) | residual | corrected arrival time (s) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run: 7G } \\ & \text { 010120 02:05 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| RD03 Pg | 33.21 | 0.07 | 33.28 |
| RD03 Sg | 52.94 | 0.9 | 53.84 |
| RD04 Pg | 34.18 | -0.03 | 34.15 |
| RD04 Sg | 54.24 | 0.35 | 54.59 |
| RD02 Pg | 36.11 | 0.58 | 36.69 |
| RD02 Sg | 56.71 | 0.72 | 57.43 |
| EFO Pg | 36.85 | 0.56 | 37.41 |
| EFO Sg | 58.16 | 1.3 | 59.46 |
| RD01 Pg | 38.35 | 1.09 | 39.44 |
| RD01 Sg | 59.91 | 0.77 | 60.68 |
| STCO Pn | 39.61 | 0.76 | 40.37 |
| ACTO Pn | 39.95 | 0.33 | 40.28 |
| ACTO Sg | 63.98 | 0.47 | 64.45 |
| BRCO Sg | 81.44 | -0.08 | 81.36 |
|  |  |  | corrected latlong |
|  | RMS: 0.48 |  | $41.86-80.90$ |


$\begin{array}{lll}\infty & 10 & n \\ N & \underset{N}{N} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \infty & \infty & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ 을
흥
응


Epicenter locations for each earthquake determined by each network showing original locations，relocations，and corrected residual locations．
$870713 \quad 13: 05$

$87071320: 53$
latitude
． 85
87071307.52
latitude

을
흥
등

870713 19：39

longitude
-80.74
-80.70
-80.59
longitude
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { N } & \text { 上 } & \text { n } \\ \sim & N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
$87071305: 58$
latitude
$\infty$
$\frac{\infty}{寸} \frac{\infty}{寸} \frac{\infty}{寸}$
870713 19：00


|  | 87071305：49 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | latitude | longitude |
| GSC orig | 41.93 | －80．71 |
| GSC reloc | 41.95 | －80．45 |
| GSC loc | 42.17 | －80．21 |
| JCU orig | 41.90 | －80．78 |
| JCU reloc | 41.91 | －80．76 |
| JCU loc | 41.96 | －80．73 |
| LDEO orig |  |  |
|  | 870713 18：25 |  |
|  | latitude | longitude |
| GSC orig |  |  |
| GSC reloc |  |  |
| GSC loc |  |  |
| JCU orig | 41.88 | －80．75 |
| JCU reloc | 41.91 | －80．73 |
| JCU loc | 41.91 | －80．72 |
| LDEO orig ${ }^{\text {Table } 8 .}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{gathered} 870713 \text { 23:49 } \\ \text { latitude } \end{gathered}$ | longitude | 87071407.47 latitude | longitude | 87071414.51 latitude | longitude | $870716 \quad 04: 49$ latitude | longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GSC rig reloc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSC loc |  |  |  |  |  |  | Master Earthquake |  |
| JCU orig | 41.90 | -80.75 | 41.87 | -80.74 | 41.88 | -80.73 | 41.90 | -80.75 |
| JCU reloc | 41.90 | -80.75 | 41.88 | -80.73 | 41.89 | -80.74 | 41.90 | -80.75 |
| JCU loc | 41.91 | -80.72 | 41.87 | -80.70 | 41.89 | -80.69 | 41.90 | -80.75 |
| LDEO orig |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.90 | -80.75 |
|  | 87071606.02 |  | 89080116.12 |  | 890801 16:50 |  | 890803 04:07 |  |
|  | latitude | longitude | latitude | longitude | latitude | longitude | latitude | longitude |
| GSC orig GSC reloc GSC loc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JCU orig | 41.88 | -80.75 | 41.88 | -80.75 | 41.89 | -80.75 | 41.90 | -80.76 |
| JCU reloc | 41.90 | -80.75 | 41.90 | -80.75 | 41.89 | -80.74 | 41.90 | -80.74 |
| JCU loc | 41.89 | -80.74 | 41.91 | -80.74 | 41.88 | -80.72 | 41.89 | -80.74 |
| LDEO orig $\quad$ Table 8 cont. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

longitude


Epicenter locations for each earthquake determined by each network showing original locations, relocations, and corrected residual locations.
901118 09:20
latitude
N
95040911.37
latitude
41.98

900926 06:13
latitude
※
950223 09:32
latitude
41.86
응
등
등


900724 23:04
latitude


$\begin{array}{ll}41.96 & -80.84 \\ 41.89 & -80.73 \\ 41.89 & -80.60\end{array}$
$\qquad$ $\begin{array}{cr}920326 \text { 03:43 } & \\ \text { latitude } & \text { longitude } \\ 42.11 & -80.84\end{array}$

| LDEO orig |
| :--- |
| GSC orig |
| GSC reloc |
| GSC loc |
| JCU orig |
| JCU reloc |
| JCU loc |
| LDEO orig |

Table 8 cont.
GSC orig
GSC reloc
GSC loc
JCU orig
JCU reloc
JCU loc
LDEO orig






| 010120 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 02:05 |  |
| latitude | longitude |  |
| GSC orig | 41.88 | -80.77 |
| GSC reloc | 41.85 | -80.83 |
| GSC loc | 41.86 | -80.90 |
|  |  |  |
| JCU orig |  |  |
| JCU reloc |  |  |
| JCU loc |  |  |
| LDEO orig |  |  |


| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 |  |




| $n$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{1}{N}$ |
| 1 |

41.87

Master Earthquake
$41.87 \quad-80.77$

| latitude | longitude |
| :--- | :--- |
| 41.81 | -81.22 |
| 41.89 | -80.76 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Table 8 cont. |  | GSC orig

GSC reloc
GSC loc

| Date/Time Latitude Lengitude Network |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Network | Letter |
| 870713 05:49 | 41.93 | -80.71 | GSC orig | A |
| 870713 05:49 | 41.95 | -80.45 | GSC reloc | A |
| 870713 13:05 | 41.93 | -80.71 | GSC orig | D |
| 870713 13:05 | 41.97 | -80.67 | GSC reloc | D |
| 870716 04:49 | 41.90 | -80.75 | Master |  |
| 010120 02:05 | 41.88 | -80.77 | GSC orig | C |
| 010120 02:05 | 41.85 | -80.83 | GSC reloc | C |
| 010126 03:03 | 41.93 | -80.72 | GSC orig | K |
| 010126 03:03 | 41.87 | -80.77 | GSC reloc | K |
| 010126 03:11 | 41.93 | -80.72 | GSC orig | E |
| 010126 03:11 | 41.86 | -80.78 | GSC reloc | $E$ |
| 010126 03:45 | 41.93 | -80.72 | GSC orig | F |
| 010126 03:45 | 41.86 | -80.77 | GSC reloc | F |
| 010126 05:11 | 41.93 | -80.72 | GSC orig | G |
| 010126 05:11 | 41.87 | -80.80 | GSC reloc | G |
| 010126 05:36 | 41.97 | -80.69 | GSC orig | H |
| 010126 05:36 | 41.86 | -80.77 | GSC reloc | H |
| 010603 22:36 | 41.87 | -80.77 | Master |  |
| 010605 08:27 | . 41.88 | -80.76 | GSC orig | 1 |
| 010605 08:27 | 41.88 | -80.80 | GSC reloc | I |
| Table 9. |  |  |  |  |


| Letter designations for each earthquake |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Network | Letter |
| $92031506: 13$ | 41.81 | -81.22 | GSC orig | J |
| 920315 06:13 | 41.89 | -80.76 | GSC reloc | J |
| 870713 05:49 | 41.90 | -80.78 | JCU orig | A |
| 870713 05:49 | 41.91 | -80.76 | JCU reloc | A |
| 870713 05:58 | 41.87 | -80.74 | JCU orig | B |
| 870713 05:58 | 41.87 | -80.70 | JCU reloc | B |
| 870713 07:52 | 41.89 | -80.75 | JCU orig | C |
| 870713 07:52 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | C |
| 870713 13:05 | 41.89 | -80.76 | JCU orig | D |
| 870713 13:05 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | D |
| 870713 18:25 | 41.88 | -80.75 | JCU orig | $E$ |
| 870713 18:25 | 41.91 | -80.73 | JCU reloc | E |
| 870713 19:00 | 41.88 | -80.75 | JCU orig | F |
| 870713 19:00 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | F |
| 870713 19:39 | 41.88 | -80.75 | JCU orig | G |
| 870713 19:39 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | G |
| 870713 20:53 | 41.85 | -80.71 | JCU orig | H |
| 870713 20:53 | 41.87 | -80.71 | JCU reloc | H |
| 870713 23:49 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU orig | 1 |
| 870713 23:49 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | 1 |
| 870714 07:47 | 41.87 | -80.74 | JCU orig | J |
| 870714 07:47 | 41.88 | -80.73 | JCU reloc | $J$ |
| Table 9 cont. |  |  |  |  |


| Letter designations for each earthquake |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Time | Latitude | Longitude | Network | Letter |
| 870714 14:51 | 41.88 | -80.73 | JCU orig | K |
| 870714 14:51 | 41.89 | -80.74 | JCU reloc | K |
| 870716 04:49 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU orig | L |
| 870716 04:49 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | L |
| 870716 06:02 | 41.88 | -80.75 | JCU orig | M |
| 870716 06:02 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | M |
| 890801 16:12 | 41.88 | -80.75 | JCU orig | N |
| 890801 16:12 | 41.90 | -80.75 | JCU reloc | N |
| 890801 16:50 | 41.89 | -80.75 | JCU orig | 0 |
| 890801 16:50 | 41.89 | -80.74 | JCU reloc | 0 |
| 890803 04:07 | 41.90 | -80.76 | JCU orig | P |
| 890803 04:07 | 41.90 | -80.74 | JCU reloc | P |
| 900101 23:03 | 41.96 | -80.84 | JCU orig | Q |
| 900101 23:03 | 41.89 | -80.73 | JCU reloc | Q |
| 900724 23:04 | 41.92 | -80.85 | JCU orig | R |
| 900724 23:04 | 41.98 | -80.83 | JCU reloc | R |
| 900926 06:13 | 41.92 | -80.72 | JCU orig | S |
| 900926 06:13 | 41.91 | -80.76 | JCU reloc | S |
| 901118 09:20 | 41.92 | -80.77 | JCU orig | T |
| 901118 09:20 | 41.92 | -80.78 | JCU reloc | T |
| Table 9 cont. |  |  |  |  |

Epicentral locations as determined by the LDEO portable instruments.

| Yrmoda | origin | lat. N | lon. W | depth | Ims. | erh erz gapxmagfmag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87-7-14 | 145111.30 | 4154.19 | 8044.92 | 1.95 | 0.01 | 0.6931 .61260 |
| 87-7-14 | 152420.52 | 4154.13 | 8045.08 | 2.29 | 0.00 | 0.601 .58262 |
| 87-7-15 | 73626.09 | 4154.00 | 8044.74 | 3.40 | 0.01 | $1.801 .25 \quad 52$ |
| 87-7-15 | 91110.21 | 4154.15 | 8045.33 | 1.93 | 0.01 | 0.7331 .61269 |
| 87-7-15 | 92144.49 | 4154.11 | 8045.07 | 1.91 | 0.00 | 0.6731 .61262 |
| 87-7-15 | 93053.22 | 4155.00 | 8044.49 | 4.82 | 0.46 | 5.4631 .61272 |
| 87-7-15 | 17234.57 | 4154.24 | 8044.49 | 1.91 | 0.01 | 0.7931 .61249 |
| 87-7-16 | 44940.20 | 4154.16 | 8044.46 | 2.58 | 0.01 | 0.140 .88157 |
| 87-7-16 | 45332.88 | 4154.26 | 8044.63 | 1.99 | 0.04 | 0.2331 .61165 |
| 87-7-16 | 45346.10 | 4154.13 | 8044.44 | 2.57 | 0.01 | 0.150 .90155 |
| 87-7-16 | 51916.84 | 4154.11 | 8044.37 | 2.00 | 0.01 | 0.1531 .61153 |
| 87-7-16 | 53659.35 | 4154.11 | 8044.22 | 1.73 | 0.01 | 0.130 .09151 |
| 87-7-16 | 55638.65 | 4154.09 | 8044.52 | 2.78 | 0.01 | 0.150 .88154 |
| 87-7-16 | 6224.93 | 4154.04 | 8044.17 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.1431 .61146 |
| 87-7-16 | 6421.18 | 4154.12 | 8044.27 | 2.42 | 0.01 | 0.140 .83153 |
| 87-7-16 | 63618.60 | 4154.13 | 8044.59 | 2.67 | 0.01 | 0.150 .89158 |
| 87-7-16 | 71937.91 | 4154.12 | 8044.21 | 2.55 | 0.01 | 0.150 .87152 |
| 87-7-16 | 72011.27 | 4154.14 | 8044.19 | 1.78 | 0.00 | 0.130 .09153 |
| 87-7-16 | 91817.42 | 4154.16 | 8044.20 | 2.02 | 0.09 | 0.4731 .61154 |
| 87-7-16 | 92117.69 | 4154.13 | 8044.36 | 2.92 | 0.00 | 0.150 .83154 |

Table 10.

| Yrmoda | origin | lat. N | lon. W | depth | rms. | erh erz gapxmagfmag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87-7-16 | 11437.51 | 4154.11 | 8044.45 | 1.82 | 0.01 | 0.1331 .61155 |
| 87-7-16 | 155450.51 | 4154.17 | 8044.47 | 2.00 | 0.01 | 0.1431 .61158 |
| 87-7-16 | 18368.85 | 4154.16 | 8044.59 | 3.26 | 0.01 | 0.170 .88158 |
| 87-7-16 | 191143.74 | 4154.18 | 8044.54 | 2.60 | 0.00 | 0.380 .90253 |
| 87-7-17 | 461.29 | 4154.10 | 8044.81 | 2.75 | 0.01 | 0.140 .85158 |
| 87-7-17 | 4610.95 | 4154.11 | 8044.71 | 3.10 | 0.01 | 0.160 .88157 |
| 87-7-17 | 4620.88 | 4154.11 | 8044.79 | 2.82 | 0.01 | 0.140 .84158 |
| 87-7-17 | 63325.10 | 4154.15 | 8044.35 | 3.26 | 0.01 | 0.180 .82156 |
| 87-7-17 | 64848.45 | 4154.11 | 8044.40 | 3.20 | 0.01 | 0.170 .91154 |
| 87-7-17 | 92342.44 | 4154.09 | 8044.48 | 3.38 | 0.01 | 0.170 .85154 |
| 87-7-17 | 94935.31 | 4154.09 | 8044.54 | 3.34 | 0.01 | 0.160 .85154 |
| 87-7-17 | 235415.98 | 4154.16 | 8044.58 | 2.83 | 0.01 | 0.150 .87158 |
| 87-7-18 | 13941.35 | 4154.10 | 8044.76 | 1.77 | 0.01 | 0.220 .08157 |
| 87-7-18 | 15846.21 | 4154.12 | 8044.72 | 2.73 | 0.01 | 0.140 .88157 |
| 87-7-18 | 62615.22 | 4154.17 | 8044.77 | 2.54 | 0.01 | 0.170 .91161 |
| 87-7-19 | 6239.47 | 4154.04 | 8045.27 | 2.84 | 0.01 | 0.200 .81158 |
| 87-7-19 | 151541.58 | 4154.12 | 8044.90 | 2.48 | 0.00 | 0.240 .80219 |
| 87-7-19 | 15400.16 | 4154.09 | 8044.47 | 2.52 | 0.01 | 0.140 .82153 |
| 87-7-19 | 212415.00 | 4154.22 | 8044.71 | 1.86 | 0.02 | 0.1631 .61164 |
| 87-7-19 | 213722.43 | 4154.13 | 8044.57 | 1.75 | 0.01 | 0.160 .08157 |


| Yr mo da | origin | lat. N | lon. W | depth | rms. | erh erz gapxmagfmag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87-7-19 | 213847.77 | 4154.11 | 8044.61 | 2.45 | 0.01 | 0.140 .98156 |
| 87-7-19 | 22240.07 | 4154.13 | 8044.53 | 2.33 | 0.01 | 0.130 .87156 |
| 87-7-19 | 231910.54 | 4154.05 | 8045.11 | 2.15 | 0.01 | 0.310 .97232 |
| 87-7-20 | 171826.37 | 4154.15 | 8044.95 | 2.27 | 0.02 | 0.381 .09242 |
| 87-7-21 | 93932.64 | 4154.07 | 8045.36 | 2.83 | 0.01 | 0.220 .81160 |
| 87-7-23 | 62944.70 | 4154.15 | 8044.66 | 2.23 | 0.01 | 0.150 .40159 |
| 87-7-23 | 82741.17 | 4154.19 | 8044.56 | 2.62 | 0.01 | 0.140 .42160 |
| 87-7-23 | 18470.06 | 4154.19 | 8044.64 | 2.31 | 0.01 | 0.150 .44162 |
| 87-7-25 | 74959.18 | 4154.20 | 8044.87 | 2.80 | 0.03 | 0.341 .11227 |
| 87-7-25 | 75018.04 | 4154.14 | 8044.87 | 2.26 | 0.01 | 0.230 .67218 |
| 87-7-25 | 222551.11 | 4154.16 | 8044.79 | 2.23 | 0.01 | 0.140 .42161 |
| 87-7-26 | 3512.76 | 4154.09 | 8045.01 | 2.18 | 0.00 | 0.130 .50159 |
| 87-7-26 | 53035.77 | 4154.10 | 8044.97 | 2.32 | 0.01 | 0.130 .49159 |
| 87-7-26 | 7116.50 | 4154.08 | 8044.76 | 1.79 | 0.01 | 0.110 .06156 |
| 89-8-5 | 05446.99 | 4154.11 | 8045.10 | 2.80 | 0.01 | 0.150 .55161 |


| Appendix A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average peak velocity (centimeters per second) | Intensity value and description | Average peak acceleration ( g is gravity $=9.80$ meters per second squared) |
|  | I. Not felt except by a very few under especially favorable circumstances. (I Rossi-Forel scale) |  |
|  | II. Felt only by a few persons at rest, especially on upper floors of buildings. Delicately suspended objects may swing. (I to II Rossi-Forel scale) |  |
|  | III. Felt quite noticeably indoors, especially on upper floors of buildings, but many people do not recognize it as an earthquake. Standing automobiles may rock slightly. Vibration like passing of truck. Duration estimated. <br> (III Rossi-Forel scale) |  |
| 1-2 | IV. During the day felt indoors by many, outdoors by few. At night some awakened. Dishes, windows, doors disturbed; walls make creaking sound. Sensation like heavy truck striking building. Standing automobiles rocked noticeably. <br> (IV to V Rossi-Forel scale) | $0.015 \mathrm{~g}-0.02 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2-5 | V. Felt by nearly everyone, many awakened. Some dishes, windows, and so on broken; cracked plaster in a few places; unstable objects overturned. Disturbances of trees, poles, and other tall objects sometimes noticed. <br> Pendulum clocks may stop. <br> (V to VI Rossi-Forel scale) | 0.03g-0.04g |
| 5-8 | VI. Felt by all, many frightened and run outdoors. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of fallen plaster and damaged chimneys. Damage slight. <br> (VI to VII Rossi-Forel scale) | 0.06g-0.07g |
| 8-12 | VII. Everybody runs outdoors. Damage negligible in buildings of good design and construction; slight to moderate in well-built ordinary structures; considerable in poorly built or badly designed structures; some chimneys broken. Noticed by persons driving cars. <br> (VIII Rossi-Forel scale) | $0.10 \mathrm{~g}-0.15 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 20-30 | VIII. Damage slight in specially designed structures; considerable in ordinary substantial buildings with partial collapse; great in poorly built structures. Panel walls thrown out of frame structures. Fall of chimneys, factory stack, columns, monuments, walls. Heavy furniture overturned. Sand and mud ejected in small amounts. Changes in well water. Persons driving cars disturbed. <br> (VIII + to IX Rossi-Forel scale) | 0.25g-0.30g |
| 45-55 | IX. Damage considerable in specially designed structures; well-designed frame structures thrown out of plumb; great in substantial buildings, with partial collapse. Buildings shifted off foundations. Ground cracked conspicuously. Underground pipes broken. <br> (IX + Rossi-Forel scale) | $0.50 \mathrm{~g}-0.55 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| More than 60 | X. Some well-built wooden structures destroyed; most masonry and frame structures destroyed with foundations; ground badly cracked. Rails bent. Landslides considerable from river banks and steep slopes. Shifted sand and mud. Water splashed, slopped over banks. <br> (X Rossi-Forel scale) | More than 0.60 g |
|  | XI. Few, if any, (masonry) structures remain standing. Bridges destroyed. Broad fissures in ground. Underground pipelines completely out of service. Earth slumps and land slips in soft ground. Rails bent greatly. |  |
|  | XII. Damage total. Waves seen on ground surface. Lines of sight and level distorted. Objects thrown into the air. |  |

## Appendix B

Explanation of nomenclature:
mbLg : Magnitude (Determined from maximum amplitude of Lg waves)

## Wave phases

P or $\mathrm{Pn}: \mathrm{P}$ - wave in the mantle
S or $\mathrm{Sn}: \mathrm{S}$ - wave in the mantle
$\mathrm{Pg}: \mathrm{P}$ - wave in the crust
$\mathrm{Sg}: \mathrm{S}$ - wave in the crust
Lg: Surface waves

## From Appendix C

RMS: Root Mean Square
Sigma 1: The major axis of the error ellipse
Sigma 2: The minor axis of the error ellipse
Res: Residual time in seconds

Run 1 Epi RE locator
1989 August 01
2.9 mbLg event at $16: 50$

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

> ler $41.667-81.158$ P 10.05 a $Y$ ler $41.667-81.158 \mathrm{~S} 10.19$ a $Y$ tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{~S} 9.86$ a $Y$ tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 10.04$ a $Y$ ecl $41.547-81.102 \mathrm{P} 10.09$ a Y ecl $41.547-81.102 \mathrm{~S} 9.98$ a Y men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 10.03$ a $Y$ men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{P} 10.29$ a $Y$ che $41.561-81.362$ S 9.90 a $Y$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
ler P 0.38232 .5900 .01
ler S 0.38232 .590 .140
tom S 0.3 226.78-. $19-.03$
tom P 0.3 226.78-. 01 -. 01
ecl P $0.44216 .670 .04-.04$
ecl S $0.44216 .67-.07-.07$
men S 0.53 246.25-.02 0.08
men P 0.53246 .250 .240 .05
che S $0.57233 .52-.150$

RMS: 0.14
sigma 1: 1.19
sigma 2: 0.21
Original loc : 41.893-80.75
New loc: 41.89 -80.74

Run 2 Epi RE locator 1989 August 01
2.8 mbLg event at $16: 12$

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$
che $41.561-81.362$ P 8.30 a $Y$
che $41.561-81.362$ S 8.04 a $Y$
ecl $41.547-81.102$ P 7.97 a $Y$
ecl $41.547-81.102$ S 8.04 a $Y$
ler $41.667-81.158$ P 8.11 a $Y$
ler $41.667-81.158$ S 7.91 a $Y$
men $41.684-81.404$ P 8.15 a $Y$
men $41.684-81.404$ S 7.97 a $Y$
tom 41.692-81.047 P 8.14 a $Y$
tom $41.692-81.047$ S 8.08 a $Y$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57233 .520 .230
che S $0.57233 .52-.030$
ecl P 0.44 216.67-. 10
ecl S $0.44216 .67-.03-.01$
ler P 0.38232 .590 .040
ler S $0.38232 .59-.160$
men P 0.53246 .250 .080 .01
men S 0.53 246.25-.1 0.01
tom P 0.3226 .780 .070
tom S 0.3226 .780 .010

RMS: 0.12
sigma 1: 1.04
sigma 2: 0.17
Original loc : 41.88 -80.75
new loc : 41.90 -80.75

Run 3 Epi RE locator 1989 August 03
2.2 mbLg event at $4: 07$

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$
ler 41.667-81.158 P 7.89 a $Y$
tom 41.692-81.047 P 8.02 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 8.03 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 7.78 a Y
che 41.561-81.362 S 7.92 a $Y$
Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
ler P 0.38 232.59-. 040.02
tom P 0.3226 .780 .090 .01
ecl P $0.44216 .670 .1-.01$
ecl S $0.44216 .67-.15-.03$
che S 0.57 233.52-.01 0.02
RMS: 0.14
sigma 1: 2.07
sigma 2: 0.29
Original loc: 41.90 -80.761
new loc: 41.90 -80.74

Run 4 Epi RE locator 1987 July 14
2.8 mbLg event at 14:51

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

```
che 41.561-81.362 P 1.24 a Y
che 41.561-81.362 S 1.15 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 0.98 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 0.98 a Y
ler 41.667-81.158 P 1.02 a Y
ler 41.667-81.158 S 1.51 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 S 1.16 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 P 1.16 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 P 1.01 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 S 1.18 a Y
```

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57233 .520 .10
che $S 0.57233 .520 .010 .01$
ecl S $0.44216 .67-.16-.1$
ecl P $0.44216 .67-.16-.07$
ler $P 0.38$ 232.59-. 120
ler S 0.38232 .590 .370 .01
men S 0.53246 .250 .020 .13
men P 0.53246 .250 .020 .06
tom P 0.3 226.78-. $13-.02$
tom S $0.3226 .780 .04-.03$

RMS: 0.17
sigma 1: 1.39
sigma 2: 0.23
original loc: 41.88 -80.73
new loc: $41.89-80.74$

Run 5 Epi RE locator 1987 July 16 event at 6:02

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Used in soln. y/n

```
che 41.561-81.362 P 5.18 a Y
che 41.561-81.362 S 4.61 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 4.60 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 4.70 a Y
ler 41.667-81.158 P 4.73 a Y
ler 41.667-81.158 S 4.62 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 P 4.75 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 S 4.60 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 P 4.73 a Y
Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57 233.52 0.46 0.01
che S 0.57 233.52-.11-.01
ecl S 0.44 216.67-.12-.02
ecl P 0.44 216.67-.02 0
ler P 0.38 232.59 0.01 0.01
ler S 0.38 232.59-.1-.01
men P 0.53 246.25 0.03 0.02
men S 0.53 246.25-.12 0.01
tom P 0.3 226.78 0.01 0
```

RMS: 0.20
sigma 1: 1.73
sigma 2: 0.31
Original loc: 41.88 -80.75
new loc: $41.90-80.75$

Run 6 Epi RE locator 1987 July 13 event 2.4 mbLg event at 23:49

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n

> che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{P} 3.92$ a Y che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{~S} 4.05$ a Y ecl $41.547-81.102 \mathrm{P} 3.94$ a y ecl $41.547-81.102 \mathrm{~S} 3.89$ a y ler $41.667-81.158 \mathrm{P} 3.93$ a Y ler $41.667-81.158 \mathrm{~S} 4.17$ a Y men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{P} 3.86$ a Y men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 3.88$ a Y tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{~S} 3.86$ a Y tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 3.94$ a Y

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)<br>che P 0.57233 .52 -. 020<br>che $S 0.57233 .520 .110$<br>ecl P 0.44216 .6700<br>ecl S 0.44 216.67-.05 0<br>ler P 0.38 232.59-.01 0<br>ler S 0.38232 .590 .230<br>men P 0.53 246.25-.08 0<br>men S $0.53246 .25-.060$<br>tom S 0.3226 .78 -. 080<br>tom P 0.3226 .7800

RMS: 0.11
sigma 1: 0.91
sigma 2: 0.15
original loc: $41.90-80.75$
new loc: $41.90-80.75$

Run 7 Epi RE locator
1987 July 13
2.2 mbLg event at $20: 53$

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soin. $y / n$
che 41.561-81.362 S 4.88 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 4.68 a $Y$
ecl $41.547-81.102$ S 4.51 a $Y$ ler 41.667-81.158 P 4.79 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 P 5.02 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 P 4.79 a Y
tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{~S} 4.64$ a Y
Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che S 0.57233 .520 .120 .09
ecl P $0.44216 .67-.08-.13$
ecl S $0.44216 .67-.25-.22$
ler P $0.38232 .59 \quad 0.030 .06$
men P 0.53246 .250 .260 .22
tom P 0.3226 .780 .030
tom S 0.3 226.78-. $12-.03$

RMS: 0.06
sigma 1: 0.71
sigma 2: 0.11
original loc: $41.85-80.71$
new loc: 41.87 -80.71

Run 8 Epi RE locator
1987 July 13
2.1 mbLg event at 19:39

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$

> che $41.561-81.362$ P 8.56 a $Y$ che $41.561-81.362$ S 8.49 a ecl $41.547-81.102$ P 8.53 a Y ecl $41.547-81.102$ S 8.41 a Y ler $41.667-81.158$ P 8.60 a $Y$ men $41.684-81.404$ S 8.41 a $Y$ tom $41.692-81.047$ P 8.61 a tom $41.692-81.047$ S 8.40 a

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)<br>che P 0.57233 .520 .060<br>che S 0.57233 .52 -. 010<br>ecl P 0.44216 .670 .030 .01<br>ecl S $0.44216 .67-.090$<br>ler P 0.38232 .590 .10<br>men S 0.53 246.25-. $09-.01$<br>tom P 0.3226 .780 .110 .01<br>tom S 0.3 226.78-. 10

RMS: 0.10
sigma 1: 0.88
sigma 2: 0.15
original loc: 41.88 -80.75
new loc: $41.90-80.75$

Run 9 Epi RE locator 1987 July 13
2.3 mbLg event at 19:00

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { che } 41.561-81.362 \text { S } 7.72 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { ecl } 41.547-81.102 \text { P } 7.68 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { ecl } 41.547-81.102 \text { S } 7.52 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { ler } 41.667-81.158 \text { P } 7.70 \text { a } \\
& \text { men } 41.684-81.404 \mathrm{P} 7.69 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { men } 41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 7.55 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { tom } 41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 7.74 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { tom } 41.692-81.047 \mathrm{~S} 7.59 \text { a } \mathrm{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che S 0.57233 .520 .070
ecl P $0.44216 .670 .03-.01$
ecl S $0.44216 .67-.13-.02$
ler P 0.38232 .590 .050
men P 0.53246 .250 .040 .01
men S 0.53 246.25-.1 0.01
tom P 0.3226 .780 .090
tom S 0.3 226.78-. $06-.01$

RMS: 0.10
sigma 1: 0.80
sigma 2: 0.15
original loc: $41.88 \quad-80.75$
new loc: $41.90 \quad-80.75$
Run 10 Epi RE locator 1987 July 13
2.8 mbLg event at $18: 25$
Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$
che $41.561-81.362$ P 6.03 a $Y$ che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{~S} 6.10$ a $Y$ ecl 41.547-81.102 P 6.19 a Y ecl 41.547-81.102 S 6.04 a Y men 41.684-81.404 P 6.20 a $Y$ men 41.684-81.404 S 6.15 a $Y$ tom 41.692-81.047 P 6.16 a Y tom 41.692-81.047 S 6.18 a $Y$
Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57233 .520 .40 .39
che $S 0.57233 .520 .470 .63$
ecl P 0.44216 .670 .560 .36
ecl S 0.44216 .670 .410 .59
men P 0.53246 .250 .570 .4
men S 0.53246 .250 .520 .65
tom P 0.3226 .780 .530 .38
tom S 0.3226 .780 .550 .62

RMS: 0.19
sigma 1: 1.54
sigma 2: 0.29
original loc: 41.88 -80.75
new loc: 41.91 -80.73

Run 11 Epi RE locator 1987 July 13
2.9 mbLg event at 13:05

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n

```
che 41.561-81.362 P 2.87 a Y
che 41.561-81.362 S 2.70 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 2.70 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 2.65 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 P 2.70 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 S 2.58 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 P 2.77 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 S 2.59 a Y
Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57 233:52 0.170
che S 0.57 233.52 0-.01
ecl P 0.44 216.6700.01
ecl S 0.44 216.67-.05 0
men P 0.53 246.25 0-.01
men S 0.53 246.25-.12-.03
tom P 0.3 226.78 0.07 0
tom S 0.3 226.78-.11-.01
```

RMS: 0.11
sigma 1: 0.89
sigma 2: 0.17
original loc: $41.89-80.76$
new loc: $41.90-80.75$

Run 12 Epi RE locator
1987 July 13
3.0 mbLg event at 07:52

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

> che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{P} 2.23$ a Y che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{~S} 2.22$ a ecl $41.547-81.102 \mathrm{P} 2.20$ a Y ecl $41.547-81.102 \mathrm{~S} 2.14$ a Y men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{P} 2.13$ a Y men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 2.02$ a $Y$ tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 2.13$ a tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{~S} 2.07$ a $Y$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57233 .520 .090
che S 0.57233 .520 .080
ed P 0.44216 .670 .060 .03
ed S 0.44216 .6700 .04
men P 0.53 246.25-. $01-.02$
men S 0.53 246.25-. $12-.05$
tom P 0.3 226.78-.01 0.01
tom S 0.3 226.78-. 070.01

RMS: 0.08
sigma 1: 0.65
sigma 2: 0.12
original loc: $41.89 \quad-80.75$
new loc: $41.90-80.75$

Run 13 Epi RE locator
1987 July 13
2.2 mbLg event at 5:58

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$
che 41.561-81.362 P 12.22 a Y
che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{~S} 12.53$ a $y$
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 11.71 a $Y$
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 12.22 a Y
ler $41.667-81.158 \mathrm{P} 12.15$ a $Y$
ler $41.667-81.158 \mathrm{~S} 12.50$ a $Y$
tom 41.692-81.047 P 12.05 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 S 12.29 a Y

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57233 .520 .010 .07
che S 0.57233 .520 .320 .14
ecl P 0.44 216.67-.5-. 16
ecl S $0.44216 .670 .01-.25$
ler P 0.38 232.59-.06 0.07
ler S 0.38232 .590 .290 .14
tom P 0.3 226.78-. $16-.01$
tom S $0.3226 .780 .08-.01$

RMS: 0.24
sigma 1: 3.16
sigma 2: 0.37
original loc: $41.88 \quad-80.69$
new loc: $41.87-80.70$

## Run 14 Epi RE locator

## 1987 July 13

3.8 mbLg event at 05:49

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$
che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{P} 8.83$ a Y
che 41.561 -81.362 S 8.93 a $Y$
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 8.74 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 8.83 a $Y$
men $41.684-81.404$ P 8.25 a $Y$
men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 8.67$ a $Y$ tom $41.692-81.047$ P 8.73 a $Y$ tom 41.692-81.047 S 8.60 a Y

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P $0.57233 .520 .13-.01$
che S $0.57233 .520 .23-.01$
ed P 0.44216 .670 .040 .06
ecl S 0.44216 .670 .130 .12
men P 0.53 246.25-. $45-.08$
men S 0.53 246.25-. $03-.15$
tom P 0.3226 .780 .030 .01
tom S 0.3 226.78-. 10.04

RMS: 0.22
sigma 1: 1.86
sigma 2: 0.35
original loc: $41.90-80.78$
new loc: 41.91 -80.76

Run 15 Epi RE locator 1987 July 14
2.4 mbLg event at $07: 47$

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

```
che 41.561-81.362 P 6.59 a Y
che 41.561-81.362 S 6.39 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 6.37 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 6.24 a Y
ler 41.667-81.158 P 6.40 a Y
ler 41.667-81.158 S 6.55 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 P 6.59 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 S 6.49 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 P 6.44 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 S 6.14 a Y
```

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi) che $P 0.57233 .520 .170 .01$ che S 0.57 233.52-.03 0.01 ecl P 0.44 216.67-. $05-.09$
ecl S 0.44 216.67-. $18-.16$
ler P 0.38 232.59-. 020.01
ler S 0.38232 .590 .130 .01
men P 0.53246 .250 .170 .1
men S 0.53246 .250 .070 .18
tom P 0.3226 .78 0.02-.02
tom S 0.3 226.78-. 28 -. 06

RMS: 0.13
sigma 1: 1.05
sigma 2: 0.18
original loc: 41.87 -80.74
new loc: 41.88-80.73

## Run 16 Epi RE locator

 1990 January 01
## 2.2 mbLg event at 23:03

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { che } 41.561-81.362 \mathrm{P} 4.34 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { che } 41.561-81.362 \mathrm{~S} 4.40 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { ecl } 41.547-81.102 \mathrm{P} 4.31 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { ecl } 41.547-81.102 \mathrm{~S} 3.94 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { ler } 41.667-81.158 \mathrm{P} 4.07 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { ler } 41.667-81.158 \mathrm{~S} 4.87 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { men } 41.684-81.404 \mathrm{P} 4.01 \text { a } \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { tom } 41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 4.10 \text { a } \\
& \text { tom } 41.692-81.047 \mathrm{~S} 4.84 \text { a } \mathrm{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)

$$
\text { che P } 0.57233 .520 .020 .01
$$

$$
\text { che S } 0.57233 .520 .080 .04
$$

$$
\text { ecl P } 0.44216 .67-.01-.06
$$

$$
\text { ecl S } 0.44216 .67-.38-.08
$$

$$
\text { ler P } 0.38 \text { 232.59-. } 250.01
$$

$$
\text { ler S } 0.38232 .590 .550 .04
$$

$$
\text { men P } 0.53246 .25-.310 .06
$$

$$
\text { tom P } 0.3 \text { 226.78-. } 22-.02
$$

$$
\text { tom S } 0.3 \quad 226.78 \quad 0.52-.01
$$

RMS: 0.38
sigma 1: 4.20
sigma 2: 0.58
original loc: 41.96 -80.84
new loc: $41.89-80.73$

## Run 17 Epi RE locator 1990 July 24 <br> 2.3 mbLg event at 23:04 <br> che $41.561-81.362$ P 6.60 a $Y$ che $41.561-81.362 \mathrm{~S} 5.98$ a $Y$ men 41.684-81.404 P 6.35 a $Y$ men $41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 5.69$ a Y tom $41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 6.82$ a $Y$ tom 41.692-81.047 S 6.92 a Y <br> Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi) che $P 0.57233 .520 .210 .11$ <br> che S $0.57233 .52-.410 .16$ <br> men P 0.53 246.25-. $04-.29$ <br> men S 0.53 246.25-.7-. 63 <br> tom P 0.3226 .780 .430 .27 <br> tom S 0.3226 .780 .530 .47

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

RMS: 0.38
sigma 1: 4.83
sigma 2: 0.68
original loc: 41.92 -80.85
new loc: 41.98 -80.83

Run 18 Epi RE locator 1990 September 26 2.3 mbLg event at 06:13

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n

```
che 41.561-81.362 P 4.62 a Y
che 41.561-81.362 S 5.36 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 P 4.63 a Y
ecl 41.547-81.102 S 5.36 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 P 4.43 a Y
men 41.684-81.404 S 5.13 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 P 4.78 a Y
tom 41.692-81.047 S 5.10 a Y
Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
che P 0.57 233.52-.31-.03
che S 0.57 233.52 0.43 0.01
ecl P 0.44 216.67-.3 0.04
ecl S 0.44 216.67 0.43 0.11
men P 0.53 246.25-.5-.09
men S 0.53 246.25 0.2-.11
tom P 0.3 226.78-.15-.01
tom S 0.3 226.78 0.17 0.05
```

RMS: 0.39
sigma 1: 3.28
sigma 2: 0.62
original loc: 41.92 -80.72
new loc: 41.91-80.76

Run 19 Epi RE locator 1990 November 18
2.3 mbLg event at 09:20

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { che } 41.561-81.362 \text { P } 12.32 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { che } 41.561-81.362 \text { S } 12.32 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { ecl } 41.547-81.102 \mathrm{P} 12.13 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { ecl } 41.547-81.102 \text { S } 12.52 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { men } 41.684-81.404 \mathrm{P} 12.47 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { men } 41.684-81.404 \mathrm{~S} 11.63 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { tom } 41.692-81.047 \mathrm{P} 12.18 \text { a } Y \\
& \text { tom } 41.692-81.047 \text { S } 12.32 \text { a } Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi) che P $0.57233 .520 .08-.02$
che S $0.57233 .520 .08-.03$
ed P $0.44216 .67-.110 .13$
ecl S 0.44216 .670 .280 .21
men P $0.53246 .25 \quad 0.23-.14$
men S 0.53 246.25-.61-. 28
tom P $0.3226 .78-.060 .03$
tom S 0.3226 .780 .080 .06

RMS: 0.26
sigma 1: 2.17
sigma 2: 0.41
original loc: 41.92 -80.77
new loc: $41.92-80.78$

Run 1G Epi RE locator
1987 July 13
2.4mbLg event at 23:49

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n
dla $42.858-81.573 \mathrm{Pg} 3.84$ a Y dla $42.858-81.573 \mathrm{Sg} 3.88$ a Y Idn 43.04-81.183 Pg 3.97 a Y Idn 43.04-81.183 Sg 3.92 a $Y$ elf $43.193-81.315 \mathrm{Pg} 3.93$ a $Y$ elf 43.193-81.315 Sg 3.76 a Y weo 44.016-78.374 P 3.90 a Y weo 44.016-78.374 Sg 3.74 a Y

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)<br>dla Pg $1.13327 .97-.030 .03$<br>dla Sg 1.13327 .970 .010 .04<br>Idn Pg 1.18344 .550 .10 .01<br>Idn Sg 1.18344 .550 .050 .01<br>elf Pg 1.36342 .410 .060 .01<br>elf Sg 1.36342 .41 -. 110.01<br>weo P $2.7438 .510 .03-.03$<br>weo Sg 2.74 38.51-. $13-.07$

RMS: 0.09
sigma 1: 0.33
sigma 2: 0.15
original loc: 41.93 -80.71
new loc: $41.90-80.75$

## Run 2g

870713 13:05
2.9 mbLg event

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$
Idn 43.04-81.183 P 2.88 b Y
Idn 43.04-81.183 S 3.28 b Y
elf 43.193-81.315 P 2.89 b Y
elf $43.193-81.315 \mathrm{~S} 2.68 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{Y}$
weo $44.016-78.374$ P 2.91 b Y
weo 44.016-78.374 S 10.67 d n

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)<br>Idn P $1.18344 .55-.050$<br>Idn S 1.18344 .550 .350<br>elf P $1.36342 .41-.040$<br>elf S $1.36342 .41-.250$<br>weo P $2.7438 .51-.020$

RMS: 0.31
latt: 41.90
long: -80.75

## Run 4 g

2001 January 26 03:45
2.2 mbLg event

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n
tyno 43.095-79.87 Sg 1.58 a $Y$
rd03 41.183-79.944 Sg 2.52 a Y
rd04 43.152-79.699 Sg 2.12 a Y
rd02 43.315-79.877 Sg 3.12 a $Y$
efo 43.092-79.312 Pg 2.43 a Y
efo $43.092-79.312 \mathrm{Sg} 2.57$ a $Y$
rd01 $43.411-79.836 \mathrm{Sg} 3.52$ a $Y$
brco 44.244-81.442 Sg 2.29 a Y

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
tyno Sg 1.4 27.87-.94 0
rd03 Sg $0.92137 .420-.01$
rd04 Sg 1.5130 .98 -. 40
rd02 Sg 1.623 .940 .60
efo Pg $1.6340 .52-.090$
efo Sg 1.6340 .520 .050
rd01 Sg 1.723 .510
brco Sg 2.43 348.63-. $23-.01$

RMS: 0.70
sigma 1: 2.22
sigma 2: 1.02

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

tyno 43.095-79.87 Pg 1.87 a $Y$ tyno $43.095-79.87 \mathrm{Sg} 2.69$ a Y rd03 41.183-79.944 Pg 2.44 a $Y$ rd03 41.183-79.944 Sg 2.82 a Y rd04 43.152-79.699 Pg 4.30 a $Y$ rd04 43.152-79.699 Sg 2.23 a Y efo 43.092-79.312 Pg 2.29 a Y efo $43.092-79.312 \mathrm{Sg} 3.56$ a Y stco 43.208-79.171 S 3.85 a Y brco 44.244-81.442 Sg 2.50 a Y

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi) tyno Pg 1.4 27.87-. $99-.03$ tyno $\mathrm{Sg} 1.427 .87-.170 .02$ rd03 Pg 0.92 137.42-. $42-.03$
rd03 Sg $0.92137 .42-.040 .03$
rd04 Pg $1.5130 .981 .44-.03$
rd04 Sg 1.5130 .98 -. 630.02
efo Pg $1.6340 .52-.57-.01$
efo Sg 1.6340 .520 .70 .05
stco S 1.7940 .510 .990 .05
brco Sg 2.43 348.63-. $36-.13$

RMS: 0.88
sigma 1: 2.42
sigma 2: 1.32

Run 6 g
2001 January 26 03:03
4.5 mbLg event

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. y/n

```
tyno 43.095 -79.87 Pg 4.41 a Y
tyno 43.095 -79.87 Sg 4.48 a Y
rd03 41.183-79.944 Pg 4.55 a Y
rd03 41.183-79.944 Sg 4.71 a Y
rd04 43.152 -79.699 Pg 4.35 a Y
rd04 43.152 -79.699 Sg 4.32 a Y
rd02 43.315 -79.877 Pg 4.36 a Y
rd02 43.315 -79.877 Sg 4.26 a Y
efo 43.092-79.312 Sg 4.87 a Y
rd01 43.411 -79.836 Pg 4.34 a Y
rd01 43.411 -79.836 Sg 4.04 a Y
stco 43.208-79.171 P 4.47 a Y
stco 43.208-79.171 S 4.97 a Y
acto 43.609-80.063 P 4.37 a Y
brco 44.244-81.442 Sg 3.77 a Y
```

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
tyno Pg 1.39 27.34-. 01 -. 04
tyno $\mathrm{Sg} 1.3927 .340 .06-.05$
rd03 Pg 0.91138 .120 .130 .28
rd03 Sg 0.91138 .120 .290 .52
rd04 Pg 1.51 30.5-.07-.03
rd04 Sg 1.51 30.5-.1-.02
rd02 Pg 1.59 23.46-.06-.06
rd02 Sg 1.59 23.46-.16-.09
efo Sg 1.6240 .140 .450 .09
rd01 Pg 1.69 23.05-.08-. 08
rd01 Sg 1.69 23.05-.38-.09
stco P 1.7840 .160 .050 .04
stco S 1.7840 .160 .550 .09
acto P $1.8215 .81-.05-.13$
brco Sg 2.44 348.3-. 65 -. 43

RMS: 0.22
sigma 1: 0.61
sigma 2: 0.27

## Run 7 g

2001 January 20 02:05
2.6 mbLg event

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

```
tyno 43.095-79.87 Pg 1.86 a n
tyno 43.095-79.87 Sg 1.65 a n
rd03 41.183-79.944 Pg 5.71 a Y
rd03 41.183-79.944 Sg 6.54 a Y
rd04 43.152 -79.699 Pg 5.61 a Y
rd04 43.152 -79.699 Sg 5.99 a Y
rd02 43.315-79.877 Pg 6.22 a Y
rd02 43.315 -79.877 Sg 6.36 a Y
efo 43.092 -79.312 Pg 6.20 a Y
efo 43.092 -79.312 Sg 6.94 a y
rd01 43.411-79.836 Pg 6.73 a Y
rd01 43.411 -79.836 Sg 6.41 a Y
stco 43.208-79.171 P 6.40 a Y
acto 43.609-80.063 P 5.97 a Y
acto 43.609-80.063 Sg 6.11 a Y
brco 44.244-81.442 Sg 5.56 a Y
```

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi)
rd03 Pg 0.92137 .42 -. 480.13
rd03 Sg 0.92137 .420 .350 .28
rd04 Pg 1.51 30.98-. 58 -. 01
rd04 Sg $1.5130 .98-.20 .03$
rd02 Pg $1.623 .940 .03-.03$
rd02 Sg $1.623 .940 .17-.02$
efo Pg 1.6340 .520 .010 .04
efo Sg 1.6340 .520 .750 .1
rd01 Pg $1.723 .50 .54-.03$
rd01 Sg 1.723 .50 .22 -. 02
stco P 1.7940 .510 .210 .04
acto P $1.8216 .24-.22-.07$
acto $\mathrm{Sg} 1.8216 .24-.08$-. 06
brco Sg 2.43 348.63-. $63-.26$
RMS: 0.40
latt: 41.86
long: -80.79

Station/Lat./Long./Phase/Arrival time (s)/Quality/Used in soln. $y / n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dla } 42.858-81.573 \mathrm{Pg} 16.13 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { Idn } 43.04-81.183 \mathrm{Pg} 17.59 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{Y} \\
& \text { Idn } 43.04-81.183 \mathrm{Sg} 18.20 \mathrm{~b} \text { Y } \\
& \text { elf } 43.193-81.315 \mathrm{Pg} 17.0 \mathrm{~b} \text { Y } \\
& \text { elf } 43.193-81.315 \mathrm{Sg} 17.16 \mathrm{~b} \text { Y } \\
& \text { weo } 44.016-78.374 \mathrm{P} 16.79 \mathrm{~b} \text { Y } \\
& \text { weo } 44.016-78.374 \mathrm{~S} 23.65 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sta Phas X Azi Res Res(nuEpi) dla Pg 1.13 327.97-1.02-.09 Idn Pg $1.18344 .550 .44-.02$ Idn Sg 1.18344 .551 .050 .06 elf Pg 1.36342 .41 -. 15 -. 03<br>elf Sg 1.36342 .410 .010 .05 weo P 2.7438 .51 -. 360.04

RMS: 0.86
latt: 41.89
long: -80.76


[^0]:    Table 3 cont．

[^1]:    Table 3 cont.

